

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/667,003	•	09/21/2000	Woong Sik Choi	2658-191P	8781
2292	7590	08/08/2006		EXAM	INER
D111011 0 .		T KOLASCH & BIR	NGUYEN, JENNIFER T		
PO BOX 74 FALLS CH		VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2629	
				DATE MAILED: 08/08/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/667,0	003	CHO! ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit		
		Jennifer	T. Nguyen	2629		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi r Reply			he correspondence address		
WHIC - Exten after 3 - If NO - Failur Any re	CRIENT STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MASSIAN of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comming period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e unication. Itutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICAT vent, however, may a reply built expire SIX (6) MONTHS (6) plication to become ABANDO	TON. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>15 May 2006</i> .				
		2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.			
′=	Since this application is in condition f	•		prosecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practic			•		
Dispositio	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-24 and 26</u> is/are pending	in the application				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	• •	onsideration.	•		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· —	Claim(s) <u>1-24,26</u> is/are rejected.					
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election	requirement.			
Applicatio	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the	. Evaminer				
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:)□ objected to by th	ne Examiner		
	Applicant may not request that any objec	•	•			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including					
	The oath or declaration is objected to					
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority ur	nder 35 I I S C & 110)(a) (d) or (f)		
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	or loreign priority ur	1401 00 0.0.0. 9 118	o(a)-(u) or (i).		
•	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority of	documents have he	en received			
				cation No		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the Internation			Sirou in tino National Stage		
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · ·	eived		
-						
Attachment						
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ			
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F No(s)/Mail Date	•	Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	il Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)		
6. Patent and Tra		Office Action Summ	arv	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20060801		

Application/Control Number: 09/667,003 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is responsive to amendment filed on 05/15/06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (hereinafter AAPA) figs. 2 and 3 in view of Troxell (U.S. Patent No. 5,177,406).

Regarding claims 1 and 20, AAPA figs. 2 and 3 discloses an electroluminescence display device, comprising:

a first electro-luminescence diode for a first pixel cell (R) for displaying a first color, the first electro-luminescence diode having a first electrical characteristic;

a second electro-luminescence diode for a second pixel cell (G) displaying a second color, the second electro-luminescence diode having a second electrical characteristic;

a first driving circuit (T2 driving pixel R) which receives a first driving voltage (L1) and applies a first driving current to the first electro-luminescence diode; and

a second driving circuit (T2 driving pixel G) which receives a second driving voltage (L2) equal to the first driving voltage and applies a second driving current different from the first driving circuit to the second electro-luminescence diode (supported specification page 2, line 16 to page 3 line 17).

Application/Control Number: 09/667,003

Art Unit: 2629

AAPA figs. 2 and 3 differs from claims 1 and 20 in that it does not specifically disclose a difference between the first driving current and the second driving current being set based on a difference between the first electrical characteristic of the first electro-luminescence diode and the second electrical characteristic of the second electro-luminescence.

Troxell teaches by varying the width of the channel of the first and second of the driving circuits, the on-current which flows through the pixels can be varied; resulting a difference between the first driving current and the second driving current being (col. 10, lines 24-58, Fig. 5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the second driving current different from the first driving current as taught by Troxell in the system of AAPA figs. 2 and 3 in order to provide the driving circuit have a geometry which can be tailored to optimally match the on-current flow from the pixel with the performance of that particular pixel.

Regarding claims 2, 3, 11, the combination of AAPA figs. 2 and 3 and Troxell teaches the first driving circuit and the second driving circuit has a different structure (col. 11, lines 11-45 of Troxell).

Regarding claims 4-9, 17, 19, 21-24, the combination of AAPA figs. 2 and 3 and Troxell teaches the first pixel cell is a R pixel cell and the second pixel cell is a B pixel cell, and the first ratio is greater than the second ratio (col. 11, lines 11-45 of Troxell).

Regarding claims 10, 18, 12-15, the combination of AAPA figs. 2 and 3 and Troxell further teaches a third driving circuit comprises a third transistor having a third channel width and a third channel length, the third channel width to the third channel length forming a third

Application/Control Number: 09/667,003

Art Unit: 2629

ratio, the first second and third ratios being different, respectively (col. 11, lines 11-45 of Troxell).

Regarding claims 16 and 26 AAPA figs. 2 and 3 discloses an electro-luminescence display device, comprising:

a first electro-luminescence diode for a first pixel cell, the first electro-luminescence diode having a first electrical characteristic;

a first driving circuit (T2 driving pixel R) which drives the first electro-luminescence diode, the first driving circuit including a first transistor having a first channel width and a first channel length (not shown), the first channel width to the first channel length being a first ratio;

a second electro-luminescence diode for a second pixel cell, the second electroluminescence diode having a second electrical characteristic;

a second driving circuit (T2 driving pixel G) which drives the second electroluminescence diode, the second driving including a second transistor having a second channel width and a second channel length (not shown), the second channel width to the second channel length being a second ratio, (supported specification page 2, line 16 to page 3 line 17).

AAPA figs. 2 and 3 differs from claim 16 and 26 in that it does not specifically disclose the first ratio being different from the second ratio.

Troxell teaches a first ratio being different from a second ratio by varying the width of the channel of the first and second of the driving circuits (R=Beta x L/W), the on-current which flows through the pixels can be varied (col. 10, lines 24-58, Fig. 5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the first ratio being different from the second ratio as taught by Troxell in the system of AAPA

Art Unit: 2629

figs. 2 and 3 in order to provide the driving circuit have a geometry which can be tailored to optimally match the on-current flow from the pixel with the performance of that particular pixel.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicants' arguments filed 5/15/2006, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because as follows:

In response to Applicants' argument stated "Troxell nowhere teaches that the difference of the currents or the channel width-to-length ratios between two driving transistors is set based on the electrical characteristic of the EL diodes". Examiner respectfully disagrees because it was known that a driving circuit driving a driving current to a pixel cell based on an electrical characteristics of an electro-luminescence diodes of the pixel cell of the electro-luminescence device. In other words, each of a electro-luminescence diodes of the pixel cell of the electroluminescence device has a different electrical characteristics according to the applied current, when a current with the same magnitude flows in each pixel cell, different color pixel cell has a brightness magnitude different from one to another (AAPA fig. 3, page 3, lines 10-17). Troxell teaches changing a current based on changing of a channel width of a driving circuit, resulting the current flows to each pixel is different from one to another varied, by adjusting the ratio (L/W) each type of pixel cell, allowing an appropriate current to flow through the pixel cell and achieve the requisite brightness level of illumination thereof (col. 10, lines 24-58, Fig. 5). It is about how to made a different of the currents flow to the driving transistors by to manufacture different size of the driving transistors and the driving transistor can drive different kinds of pixel or display element. Therefore, it is proper to incorporate the changing the current magnitude flow

Application/Control Number: 09/667,003 Page 6

Art Unit: 2629

to the pixel as taught by Troxell in the system of Conventional Art's display to improve the

brightness of the display. It is believed that the ground of the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7696.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri: 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Richard Hjerpe can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer Nguyen 1/8/06

1,0,00

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600